CISC 372: Parallel Computing

Introduction to MPI

Stephen F. Siegel

Department of Computer and Information Sciences
University of Delaware

siegel@udel.edu

Message Passing Interface: Brief History

Message Passing Interface: Brief History

- late 1980s
  - every vendor had their own message-passing library

Message Passing Interface: Brief History

- late 1980s
  - every vendor had their own message-passing library
- April 1992
  - workshop led to working group on a message-passing standard
  - involved academia, industry (vendors), users
  - rather than choose one of the existing libraries, "big tent"

Message Passing Interface: Brief History

- late 1980s
  - every vendor had their own message-passing library
- April 1992
  - workshop led to working group on a message-passing standard
  - involved academia, industry (vendors), users
  - rather than choose one of the existing libraries, "big tent"
- 1994: MPI: A Message Passing Interface Standard (v1.0)
- defines an interface
  - types
  - constants
  - functions

Message Passing Interface: Brief History

- late 1980s
  - every vendor had their own message-passing library
- April 1992
  - workshop led to working group on a message-passing standard
  - involved academia, industry (vendors), users
  - rather than choose one of the existing libraries, "big tent"
- 1994: MPI: A Message Passing Interface Standard (v1.0)
- defines an interface
  - types
  - constants
  - functions
- versions 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 3.0, 3.1
- MPI 3.1 approved on June 4, 2015
  - `http://www.mpi-forum.org`
  - 868 pages

MPI Program Model

MPI Program Model

- an MPI program consists of multiple processes

MPI Program Model

- an MPI program consists of multiple processes
- each process has its own memory (no shared memory)

MPI Program Model

- an MPI program consists of multiple processes
- each process has its own memory (no shared memory)
- think of each process as a program running on its own computer
- the computers can have different architectures
- the programs do not even have to be written in the same language
  - MPI officially supports C and Fortran

MPI Program Model

- an MPI program consists of multiple processes
- each process has its own memory (no shared memory)
- think of each process as a program running on its own computer
- the computers can have different architectures
- the programs do not even have to be written in the same language
  - MPI officially supports C and Fortran
- however, in most cases:
  - programmer writes one generic program
  - compiles this
  - at run-time, specifies number of processes

MPI Program Model

- an MPI program consists of multiple processes
- each process has its own memory (no shared memory)
- think of each process as a program running on its own computer
- the computers can have different architectures
- the programs do not even have to be written in the same language
  - MPI officially supports C and Fortran
- however, in most cases:
  - programmer writes one generic program
  - compiles this
  - at run-time, specifies number of processes
  - run-time system
    - instantiates that number of processes
    - distributes them where they need to go

MPI Program Model

- an MPI program consists of multiple processes
- each process has its own memory (no shared memory)
- think of each process as a program running on its own computer
- the computers can have different architectures
- the programs do not even have to be written in the same language
  - MPI officially supports C and Fortran
- however, in most cases:
  - programmer writes one generic program
  - compiles this
  - at run-time, specifies number of processes
  - run-time system
    - instantiates that number of processes
    - distributes them where they need to go
  - a process can obtain its unique ID ("rank")
    - by branching on rank, each process can execute different code

Communicators and Rank

Communicators and Rank

- a communicator is an MPI abstraction representing a set of processes
  - type: `MPI_Comm`

Communicators and Rank

- a communicator is an MPI abstraction representing a set of processes
  - type: `MPI_Comm`
- processes belonging to a communicator are numbered $0, 1, \ldots n-1$

Communicators and Rank

- a communicator is an MPI abstraction representing a set of processes
  - type: `MPI_Comm`
- processes belonging to a communicator are numbered $0, 1, \ldots n-1$
- n is the size of the communicator
- rank: the number of the process within the communicator

Communicators and Rank

- a communicator is an MPI abstraction representing a set of processes
  - type: `MPI_Comm`
- processes belonging to a communicator are numbered $0, 1, \ldots n-1$
- n is the size of the communicator
- rank: the number of the process within the communicator
- `MPI_COMM_WORLD`: constant of type `MPI_Comm`
  - pre-defined communicator
  - comprises all processes that exist at start up

Communicators and Rank

- a communicator is an MPI abstraction representing a set of processes
  - type: `MPI_Comm`
- processes belonging to a communicator are numbered $0, 1, \ldots n-1$
- n is the size of the communicator
- rank: the number of the process within the communicator
- `MPI_COMM_WORLD`: constant of type `MPI_Comm`
  - pre-defined communicator
  - comprises all processes that exist at start up
- `MPI_Comm_size(MPI_Comm comm, int *size)`
  - stores size of `comm` in `size`
  - returns an error code (0=success)

Communicators and Rank

- a communicator is an MPI abstraction representing a set of processes
  - type: `MPI_Comm`
- processes belonging to a communicator are numbered $0, 1, \ldots n-1$
- n is the size of the communicator
- rank: the number of the process within the communicator
- `MPI_COMM_WORLD`: constant of type `MPI_Comm`
  - pre-defined communicator
  - comprises all processes that exist at start up
- `MPI_Comm_size(MPI_Comm comm, int *size)`
  - stores size of `comm` in `size`
  - returns an error code (0=success)
- `MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_Comm comm, int *rank)`
  - stores rank of calling process in `rank`
  - returns an error code (0=success)

Startup and Shutdown

Startup and Shutdown

- `MPI_Init(&argc, &argv)`
  - each process must call this before calling any other MPI functions
  - must be called before reading `argc` or `argv`
  - `MPI_Init(NULL, NULL)`
    - can be used if command line arguments not needed

Startup and Shutdown

- `MPI_Init(&argc, &argv)`
  - each process must call this before calling any other MPI functions
  - must be called before reading `argc` or `argv`
  - `MPI_Init(NULL, NULL)`
    - can be used if command line arguments not needed
- `MPI_Finalize()`
  - must be called before process exits
  - no MPI functions can be called after this is called

Hello, world

```
#include<stdio.h>
#include<mpi.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  int rank;

  MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
  MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
  printf("Hello from process %d.\n", rank);
  fflush(stdout);
  MPI_Finalize();
}
```

Compiling and Executing

- depends somewhat on the MPI implementation

Compiling and Executing

- depends somewhat on the MPI implementation
- standard compilation approach
  - `mpicc [options] -o foo foo.c`
  - just like `cc`
  - results in binary file `foo`

Compiling and Executing

- depends somewhat on the MPI implementation
- standard compilation approach
  - `mpicc [options] -o foo foo.c`
  - just like `cc`
  - results in binary file `foo`
- standard execution approach
  - `mpiexec -n` *numProcs* `./foo`

Compiling and Executing

- depends somewhat on the MPI implementation
- standard compilation approach
  - `mpicc [options] -o foo foo.c`
  - just like `cc`
  - results in binary file `foo`
- standard execution approach
  - `mpiexec -n` *numProcs* `./foo`
- on Grendel, Bridges, and other large machines shared by many people:
  - slightly different approach
  - cross-compilation is an option
  - queueing system: SLURM
    - `srun`, `sbatch`, `squeue`, `scancel`, ...

Using the parallel computer Beowulf in CISC 372

Using the parallel computer Beowulf in CISC 372

- `grendel.cis.udel.edu` is a virtual machine (VM)

Using the parallel computer Beowulf in CISC 372

- `grendel.cis.udel.edu` is a virtual machine (VM)
- it is not the parallel machine
  - it is used as the interface to the parallel machine Beowulf

Using the parallel computer Beowulf in CISC 372

- `grendel.cis.udel.edu` is a virtual machine (VM)
- it is not the parallel machine
  - it is used as the interface to the parallel machine Beowulf
- you cannot log on to Beowulf directly

Using the parallel computer Beowulf in CISC 372

- `grendel.cis.udel.edu` is a virtual machine (VM)
- it is not the parallel machine
  - it is used as the interface to the parallel machine Beowulf
- you cannot log on to Beowulf directly
- use Grendel (the VM) to edit, compile, and for other "light" programming tasks

Using the parallel computer Beowulf in CISC 372

- `grendel.cis.udel.edu` is a virtual machine (VM)
- it is not the parallel machine
  - it is used as the interface to the parallel machine Beowulf
- you cannot log on to Beowulf directly
- use Grendel (the VM) to edit, compile, and for other "light" programming tasks
  - or develop/debug on your own machine then use svn to move your work to Grendel

Using the parallel computer Beowulf in CISC 372

- `grendel.cis.udel.edu` is a virtual machine (VM)
- it is not the parallel machine
  - it is used as the interface to the parallel machine Beowulf
- you cannot log on to Beowulf directly
- use Grendel (the VM) to edit, compile, and for other "light" programming tasks
  - or develop/debug on your own machine then use svn to move your work to Grendel
- execute from the VM using SLURM
  - example: `srun -n 10 ./myexecutable`
  - this queues and runs your job on the parallel machine
  - this is the only way you will see performance
  - do not do "big" runs on the VM
  - do not use `mpiexec` on the VM

Example: Boolean Satisfiability

- SAT: The Boolean Satisfiability Problem

Example: Boolean Satisfiability

- SAT: The Boolean Satisfiability Problem
- given
  - boolean variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
  - a boolean formula ϕ in the $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
    - ϕ may use $\wedge$ (and), $\vee$ (or), and $\neg$ (not)

Example: Boolean Satisfiability

- SAT: The Boolean Satisfiability Problem
- given
  - boolean variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
  - a boolean formula ϕ in the $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
    - ϕ may use $\wedge$ (and), $\vee$ (or), and $\neg$ (not)
- determine whether ϕ is satisfiable
  - does there exist a solution?
    - assignments of *true*/*false* to the x_i that lead ϕ to evaluate to *true*

Example: Boolean Satisfiability

- SAT: The Boolean Satisfiability Problem
- given
  - boolean variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
  - a boolean formula ϕ in the $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
    - ϕ may use $\wedge$ (and), $\vee$ (or), and $\neg$ (not)
- determine whether ϕ is satisfiable
  - does there exist a solution?
    - assignments of *true*/*false* to the x_i that lead ϕ to evaluate to *true*
  - additionally: if ϕ is satisfiable, find a/all solution(s)

Example: Boolean Satisfiability

- SAT: The Boolean Satisfiability Problem
- given
  - boolean variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
  - a boolean formula ϕ in the $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
    - ϕ may use $\wedge$ (and), $\vee$ (or), and $\neg$ (not)
- determine whether ϕ is satisfiable
  - does there exist a solution?
    - assignments of *true*/*false* to the x_i that lead ϕ to evaluate to *true*
  - additionally: if ϕ is satisfiable, find a/all solution(s)
- example
  - variables x_1, x_2, x_3
  - $\phi = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge \neg x_1$
  - ϕ is satisfiable
  - $x_1 =$ *false*, $x_2 =$ *false*, x_3 arbitrary

Example: Boolean Satisfiability

- SAT: The Boolean Satisfiability Problem
- given
  - boolean variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
  - a boolean formula ϕ in the $x_1, \ldots, x_n$
    - ϕ may use $\wedge$ (and), $\vee$ (or), and $\neg$ (not)
- determine whether ϕ is satisfiable
  - does there exist a solution?
    - assignments of *true*/*false* to the x_i that lead ϕ to evaluate to *true*
  - additionally: if ϕ is satisfiable, find a/all solution(s)
- example
  - variables x_1, x_2, x_3
  - $\phi = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge \neg x_1$
  - ϕ is satisfiable
  - $x_1 =$ *false*, $x_2 =$ *false*, x_3 arbitrary
- example of an unsatisfiable formula: $x_1 \wedge \neg x_1$

SAT

SAT

- numerous applications
  - cryptography
  - circuit design: are two digital circuits equivalent?
  - automatic test generation for software or hardware
  - model checking: automatic verification of programs
  - artificial intelligence: planning, ...

SAT

- numerous applications
  - cryptography
  - circuit design: are two digital circuits equivalent?
  - automatic test generation for software or hardware
  - model checking: automatic verification of programs
  - artificial intelligence: planning, ...
- asymptotic complexity?
  - all known algorithms have exponential worst-case time complexity in n
  - it is not known whether you can do better than exponential
  - it is possible a polynomial-time algorithm exists!
  - SAT is an example of a problem in NP: nondeterministic polynomial time
  - it is unknown whether P=NP — the big unsolved problem in computer science
  - if SAT is in P, then P=NP

SAT

- numerous applications
  - cryptography
  - circuit design: are two digital circuits equivalent?
  - automatic test generation for software or hardware
  - model checking: automatic verification of programs
  - artificial intelligence: planning, ...
- asymptotic complexity?
  - all known algorithms have exponential worst-case time complexity in n
  - it is not known whether you can do better than exponential
  - it is possible a polynomial-time algorithm exists!
  - SAT is an example of a problem in NP: nondeterministic polynomial time
  - it is unknown whether P=NP — the big unsolved problem in computer science
  - if SAT is in P, then P=NP
- many effective SAT solvers exist
  - can solve problems with millions of variables, clauses
  - widely-used in many applications
  - active research area with numerous journals, conferences, competitions

A simple brute-force SAT solver

- iterate over all 2^n assignments to the n boolean variables
  - for each, plug into ϕ and evaluate

A simple brute-force SAT solver

- iterate over all 2^n assignments to the n boolean variables
  - for each, plug into ϕ and evaluate
- example formula in C:

```
    (v[0]  || v[1])
&& (!v[1] || !v[3])  && (v[2]  || v[3])
&& (!v[3] || !v[4])  && (v[4]  || !v[5])
&& (v[5]  || !v[6])  && (v[5]  || v[6])
&& (v[6]  || !v[15]) && (v[7]  || !v[8])
&& (!v[7] || !v[13]) && (v[8]  || v[9])
&& (v[8]  || !v[9])  && (!v[9] || !v[10])
&& (v[9]  || v[11])  && (v[10] || v[11])
&& (v[12] || v[13])  && (v[13] || !v[14])
&& (v[14] || v[15])
```

Brute force SAT solver: example

	a	b	c	$(\neg a) \wedge (b \vee \neg c)$
0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
2	0	1	0	1
3	0	1	1	1
4	1	0	0	0
5	1	0	1	0
6	1	1	0	0
7	1	1	1	0

Brute force SAT solver: example

	a	b	c	$(\neg a) \wedge (b \vee \neg c)$
0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
2	0	1	0	1
3	0	1	1	1
4	1	0	0	0
5	1	0	1	0
6	1	1	0	0
7	1	1	1	0

- iterate over integers and extract the base-2 representation of each
- see `sat.c`

The Core Principles of Parallel Computing

The Core Principles of Parallel Computing

Every algorithm applies some operations to some data.

The Core Principles of Parallel Computing

Every algorithm applies some operations to some data.

To parallelize the algorithm, you must:

1. divide up the data, and
2. divide up the operations.

The Core Principles of Parallel Computing

Every algorithm applies some operations to some data.

To parallelize the algorithm, you must:

1. divide up the data, and
2. divide up the operations.

Two Goals:

The Core Principles of Parallel Computing

Every algorithm applies some operations to some data.

To parallelize the algorithm, you must:

1. divide up the data, and
2. divide up the operations.

Two Goals:

- locality: most operations performed by process P require only the data assigned to P
  - minimize communication!

The Core Principles of Parallel Computing

Every algorithm applies some operations to some data.

To parallelize the algorithm, you must:

1. divide up the data, and
2. divide up the operations.

Two Goals:

- locality: most operations performed by process P require only the data assigned to P
  - minimize communication!
- load balance: the work is distributed equally among the processes
  - a parallel program is only as fast as the longest-running process

Parallelizing SAT solver

	a	b	c	$(\neg a) \wedge (b \vee \neg c)$
0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
2	0	1	0	1
3	0	1	1	1
4	1	0	0	0
5	1	0	1	0
6	1	1	0	0
7	1	1	1	0

Parallelizing SAT solver

	a	b	c	$(\neg a) \wedge (b \vee \neg c)$
0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
2	0	1	0	1
3	0	1	1	1
4	1	0	0	0
5	1	0	1	0
6	1	1	0	0
7	1	1	1	0

- each row is a piece of work — divide these up equally

Parallelizing SAT solver

	a	b	c	$(\neg a) \wedge (b \vee \neg c)$
0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
2	0	1	0	1
3	0	1	1	1
4	1	0	0	0
5	1	0	1	0
6	1	1	0	0
7	1	1	1	0

- each row is a piece of work — divide these up equally
- locality?
  - each proc operates on its own data; no communication necessary — "embarrassingly parallel"

Parallelizing SAT solver

	a	b	c	$(\neg a) \wedge (b \vee \neg c)$
0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
2	0	1	0	1
3	0	1	1	1
4	1	0	0	0
5	1	0	1	0
6	1	1	0	0
7	1	1	1	0

- each row is a piece of work — divide these up equally
- locality?
  - each proc operates on its own data; no communication necessary — "embarrassingly parallel"
- load balance?
  - possible issue: some cases can be solved faster than others ("short circuit" nature of *and*, *or*)
  - in the example, last 4 cases are quick
  - note these quick cases tend to be "clumped" together

Dividing up the work

Suppose we have two procs. How to divide up the work between them?

1. Method 1 (block distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,1,2,3
   - Proc 1: rows 4,5,6,7

Dividing up the work

Suppose we have two procs. How to divide up the work between them?

1. Method 1 (block distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,1,2,3
   - Proc 1: rows 4,5,6,7
   - Problem: Proc 1 finishes quickly, then has nothing to do.
   - *program is only as fast as the slowest process*

Dividing up the work

Suppose we have two procs. How to divide up the work between them?

1. Method 1 (block distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,1,2,3
   - Proc 1: rows 4,5,6,7
   - Problem: Proc 1 finishes quickly, then has nothing to do.
   - *program is only as fast as the slowest process*
2. Method 2 (cyclic distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,2,4,6
   - Proc 1: rows 1,3,5,7

Dividing up the work

Suppose we have two procs. How to divide up the work between them?

1. Method 1 (block distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,1,2,3
   - Proc 1: rows 4,5,6,7
   - Problem: Proc 1 finishes quickly, then has nothing to do.
   - *program is only as fast as the slowest process*
2. Method 2 (cyclic distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,2,4,6
   - Proc 1: rows 1,3,5,7
     - Probably closer to equal division of work

Dividing up the work

Suppose we have two procs. How to divide up the work between them?

1. Method 1 (block distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,1,2,3
   - Proc 1: rows 4,5,6,7
   - Problem: Proc 1 finishes quickly, then has nothing to do.
   - *program is only as fast as the slowest process*
2. Method 2 (cyclic distribution)
   - Proc 0: rows 0,2,4,6
   - Proc 1: rows 1,3,5,7
     - Probably closer to equal division of work

Load Balancing

Cyclic Distribution

Generalize

Given any number of tasks.

Given p processes.

Distribute the tasks cyclically:

- proc 0: $0, p, 2p, \ldots$
- proc 1: $1, p+1, 2p+1, \ldots$
- proc 2: $2, p+2, 2p+2, \ldots$
- etc.

I.e., proc i gets tasks t, where $t\%p = i$.

See `sat1.c`, `Makefile`.

Adding things up

Adding things up

- now we want to print the total number of solutions found

Adding things up

- now we want to print the total number of solutions found
- each process can count its solutions
- then we need to add up these numbers across all processes

Adding things up

- now we want to print the total number of solutions found
- each process can count its solutions
- then we need to add up these numbers across all processes
- this obviously requires communication

Adding things up

- now we want to print the total number of solutions found
- each process can count its solutions
- then we need to add up these numbers across all processes
- this obviously requires communication
- an example of a collective operation
  - a communication operation involving all processes in a communicator

Adding things up

- now we want to print the total number of solutions found
- each process can count its solutions
- then we need to add up these numbers across all processes
- this obviously requires communication
- an example of a collective operation
  - a communication operation involving all processes in a communicator
- to carry out a collective operation in MPI:
  - each process calls the same function
  - some arguments will be the same for all processes
  - some will differ

Adding things up

- now we want to print the total number of solutions found
- each process can count its solutions
- then we need to add up these numbers across all processes
- this obviously requires communication
- an example of a collective operation
  - a communication operation involving all processes in a communicator
- to carry out a collective operation in MPI:
  - each process calls the same function
  - some arguments will be the same for all processes
  - some will differ
- the collective function `MPI_Reduce` can be used to
  - add vectors across all processes
  - store the resulting vector in the memory of one process

MPI_Reduce

```
MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)
```

`sendbuf`	address of send buffer (`void*`)
`recvbuf`	address of recv buffer (`void*`)
`count`	number of elements in send buffer (`int`)
`datatype`	data type of elements in send buffer (`MPI_Datatype`)
`op`	reduce operation (`MPI_Op`)
`root`	rank of root process (`int`)
`comm`	communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

Rank 0 `sendbuf`	x_{00}	x_{01}	x_{02}
Rank 1 `sendbuf`	x_{10}	x_{11}	x_{12}
Rank 2 `sendbuf`	x_{20}	x_{21}	x_{22}

Root `recvbuf`	$x_{00} + x_{10} + x_{20}$	$x_{01} + x_{11} + x_{21}$	$x_{02} + x_{12} + x_{22}$

```
MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)
```

`MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)`

- all processes in the communicator must call it

`MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)`

- all processes in the communicator must call it
- all pass same value for `root`, `comm`, `op`

`MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)`

- all processes in the communicator must call it
- all pass same value for `root`, `comm`, `op`
- in most cases, all pass same values for `count`, `datatype`

`MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)`

- all processes in the communicator must call it
- all pass same value for `root`, `comm`, `op`
- in most cases, all pass same values for `count`, `datatype`
- there is no requirement on the pointer values
  - each lives in a "different world" (no shared memory)

`MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)`

- all processes in the communicator must call it
- all pass same value for `root`, `comm`, `op`
- in most cases, all pass same values for `count`, `datatype`
- there is no requirement on the pointer values
  - each lives in a "different world" (no shared memory)
- the `recvbuf` argument is only used on the root process
  - all other processes ignore this argument

`MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)`

- all processes in the communicator must call it
- all pass same value for `root`, `comm`, `op`
- in most cases, all pass same values for `count`, `datatype`
- there is no requirement on the pointer values
  - each lives in a "different world" (no shared memory)
- the `recvbuf` argument is only used on the root process
  - all other processes ignore this argument
- if you break any of the rules
  - anything could happen
  - you might get an error message
  - your program might run and just return erroneous results
  - you might get a deadlock
  - you might get a crash with an indecipherable error message
  - the MPI Standard does not specify

Reduction Operations

Predefined reduction operations:

MPI_Op	binary operation	C operation
MPI_SUM	addition	+
MPI_PROD	multiplication	*
MPI_MAX	maximum	x>=y ? x : y
MPI_MIN	minimum	x<y ? x : y
MPI_LAND	logical *and*	&&
MPI_LOR	logical *or*	||
MPI_LXOR	logical *exclusive or*	
MPI_BAND	bit-wise *and*	&
MPI_BOR	bit-wise *or*	|
MPI_BXOR	bit-wise *exclusive or*	^

Can also make user-defined reduction operations.

Datatypes

Some common MPI datatypes:

MPI_Datatype	C type
MPI_INT	int
MPI_FLOAT	float
MPI_DOUBLE	double
MPI_CHAR	char
MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	unsigned char

Datatypes

Some common MPI datatypes:

MPI_Datatype	C type
MPI_INT	int
MPI_FLOAT	float
MPI_DOUBLE	double
MPI_CHAR	char
MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	unsigned char

- See MPI Standard 3.1, Section 3.2.2, "Message Data", Table 3.2
  - "Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to C datatypes"

Datatypes

Some common MPI datatypes:

MPI_Datatype	C type
MPI_INT	int
MPI_FLOAT	float
MPI_DOUBLE	double
MPI_CHAR	char
MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	unsigned char

- See MPI Standard 3.1, Section 3.2.2, "Message Data", Table 3.2
  - "Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to C datatypes"
- food for thought
  - why did MPI Forum re-invent the data structure wheel?

Datatypes

Some common MPI datatypes:

MPI_Datatype	C type
MPI_INT	int
MPI_FLOAT	float
MPI_DOUBLE	double
MPI_CHAR	char
MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	unsigned char

- See MPI Standard 3.1, Section 3.2.2, "Message Data", Table 3.2
  - "Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to C datatypes"
- food for thought
  - why did MPI Forum re-invent the data structure wheel?
- now examine `sat2.c`
  - see how `MPI_Reduce` is used

Creating global synchronization points: `MPI_Barrier`

```
MPI_Barrier(comm)
```

`comm` communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

Creating global synchronization points: `MPI_Barrier`

`MPI_Barrier(comm)`

`comm` communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

- another collective operation

Creating global synchronization points: MPI_Barrier

```
MPI_Barrier(comm)
```

`comm` communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

- another collective operation
- blocks calling process until all processes in `comm` call `MPI_Barrier`

Creating global synchronization points: MPI_Barrier

```
MPI_Barrier(comm)
```

`comm` communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

- another collective operation
- blocks calling process until all processes in `comm` call `MPI_Barrier`
- "no one can leave until everyone enters"
  - the motto of the barrier

Creating global synchronization points: `MPI_Barrier`

```
MPI_Barrier(comm)
```

`comm` communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

- another collective operation
- blocks calling process until all processes in `comm` call `MPI_Barrier`
- "no one can leave until everyone enters"
  - the motto of the barrier
- if one process in `comm` calls `MPI_Barrier(comm)`, all should
  - else deadlock ensues

Creating global synchronization points: MPI_Barrier

```
MPI_Barrier(comm)
```

`comm` communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

- another collective operation
- blocks calling process until all processes in `comm` call `MPI_Barrier`
- "no one can leave until everyone enters"
  - the motto of the barrier
- if one process in `comm` calls `MPI_Barrier(comm)`, all should
  - else deadlock ensues
- example: all procs say "hello", barrier, then "goodbye"

Creating global synchronization points: `MPI_Barrier`

```
MPI_Barrier(comm)
```

`comm` communicator (`MPI_Comm`)

- another collective operation
- blocks calling process until all processes in `comm` call `MPI_Barrier`
- "no one can leave until everyone enters"
  - the motto of the barrier
- if one process in `comm` calls `MPI_Barrier(comm)`, all should
  - else deadlock ensues
- example: all procs say "hello", barrier, then "goodbye"
- example: write a "hello, world" program, but:
  - messages are printed in order of increasing rank
  - solution: loop with barrier

Keeping track of time: `MPI_Wtime()`

Keeping track of time: `MPI_Wtime()`

Stands for wall time. From MPI Standard 2.2:

> *MPI defines a timer. A timer is specified even though it is not "message-passing," because timing parallel programs is important in "performance debugging" and because existing timers (both in POSIX 1003.1-1988 and 1003.4D 14.1 and in Fortran 90) are either inconvenient or do not provide adequate access to high-resolution timers.*

Keeping track of time: `MPI_Wtime()`

Stands for wall time. From MPI Standard 2.2:

> *MPI defines a timer. A timer is specified even though it is not "message-passing," because timing parallel programs is important in "performance debugging" and because existing timers (both in POSIX 1003.1-1988 and 1003.4D 14.1 and in Fortran 90) are either inconvenient or do not provide adequate access to high-resolution timers.*

- returns a floating-point number
  - the number of seconds elapsed since some fixed time in the past
  - "time in the past" is not specified, but is fixed for the life of the process
  - e.g.: midnight on Jan. 1, 1970

Keeping track of time: `MPI_Wtime()`

Stands for wall time. From MPI Standard 2.2:

> *MPI defines a timer. A timer is specified even though it is not "message-passing," because timing parallel programs is important in "performance debugging" and because existing timers (both in POSIX 1003.1-1988 and 1003.4D 14.1 and in Fortran 90) are either inconvenient or do not provide adequate access to high-resolution timers.*

- returns a floating-point number
  - the number of seconds elapsed since some fixed time in the past
  - "time in the past" is not specified, but is fixed for the life of the process
  - e.g.: midnight on Jan. 1, 1970
- typical usage
  - `t0 = MPI_Wtime();`
  - do some computation
  - `t1 = MPI_Wtime();`
  - it took `t1-t0` seconds to do the computation

`MPI_Wtime`, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?

`MPI_Wtime`, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

Solution (see `sat3.c`):

1. isolate the region of code you want to time (e.g.: you might want to exclude I/O)

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

Solution (see `sat3.c`):

1. isolate the region of code you want to time (e.g.: you might want to exclude I/O)
2. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

Solution (see `sat3.c`):

1. isolate the region of code you want to time (e.g.: you might want to exclude I/O)
2. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`
3. `t0 = MPI_Wtime();`

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

Solution (see `sat3.c`):

1. isolate the region of code you want to time (e.g.: you might want to exclude I/O)
2. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`
3. `t0 = MPI_Wtime();`
4. do some computation

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

Solution (see `sat3.c`):

1. isolate the region of code you want to time (e.g.: you might want to exclude I/O)
2. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`
3. `t0 = MPI_Wtime();`
4. do some computation
5. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

Solution (see `sat3.c`):

1. isolate the region of code you want to time (e.g.: you might want to exclude I/O)
2. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`
3. `t0 = MPI_Wtime();`
4. do some computation
5. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`
6. `t1 = MPI_Wtime();`

MPI_Wtime, cont.

Issue:

- when is a task that involves multiple processes completed?
  - when the first process finishes? the average process?
  - **when the last process finishes**
- you cannot time just one process

Solution (see `sat3.c`):

1. isolate the region of code you want to time (e.g.: you might want to exclude I/O)
2. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`
3. `t0 = MPI_Wtime();`
4. do some computation
5. `MPI_Barrier(comm);`
6. `t1 = MPI_Wtime();`
7. elapsed time is `t1-t0`
   - result should be roughly the same on every process