# CISC 372: Parallel Computing Threads, part 3: condition variables

Stephen F. Siegel

Department of Computer and Information Sciences University of Delaware

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

# Example: bank account

```
const int max = 10; // keep bal in 0..max
int bal = 0;
pthread_mutex_t mutex;
```

```
void * deposit_thread(void * arg) {
  while (1) {
    // WAIT UNTIL bal<10 ...
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
    bal++;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
  }
}</pre>
```

```
void * withdraw_thread(void * arg) {
  while (1) {
    // WAIT UNTIL bal>0 ...
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
    bal--;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
  }
}
```

- only want depositor to take the lock if bal<10</p>
- only want withdrawer to take the lock if bal>0
- an example of the producer-consumer pattern

S.F. Siegel  $\diamond$  CISC 372: Parallel Computing  $\diamond$  Threads

#### Bad solution

```
while (true) {
   pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
   if (bal > 0) break;
   pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}
bal--;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
```

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

#### Bad solution

```
while (true) {
   pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
   if (bal > 0) break;
   pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}
bal--;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
```

functionally, this is correct

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ クタウ

### Bad solution

```
while (true) {
   pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
   if (bal > 0) break;
   pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}
bal--;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
```

functionally, this is correct

performance-wise: disaster

- thread is constantly spinning, rechecking bal repeatedly, unnecessarily
- ...and taking and releasing lock
- a thread that should be quietly waiting is instead constantly consuming resources (CPU)
- if many threads do this: lock contention
- performance grinds to a halt

the "monitor" is a standard solution to this problem

- the "monitor" is a standard solution to this problem
- ▶ a concurrency concept introduced by Per Brinch Hansen and C.A.R. Hoare in early 1970s

- the "monitor" is a standard solution to this problem
- ▶ a concurrency concept introduced by Per Brinch Hansen and C.A.R. Hoare in early 1970s
- used in many programming languages/APIs

- the "monitor" is a standard solution to this problem
- ▶ a concurrency concept introduced by Per Brinch Hansen and C.A.R. Hoare in early 1970s
- used in many programming languages/APIs
- Concurrent Pascal (1974, Hansen)

- the "monitor" is a standard solution to this problem
- ▶ a concurrency concept introduced by Per Brinch Hansen and C.A.R. Hoare in early 1970s
- used in many programming languages/APIs
- Concurrent Pascal (1974, Hansen)
- Java: synchronize, wait(), notify(), notifyAll()

- the "monitor" is a standard solution to this problem
- ▶ a concurrency concept introduced by Per Brinch Hansen and C.A.R. Hoare in early 1970s
- used in many programming languages/APIs
- Concurrent Pascal (1974, Hansen)
- Java: synchronize, wait(), notify(), notifyAll()
- Pthreads: condition variables and mutexes

- the "monitor" is a standard solution to this problem
- ▶ a concurrency concept introduced by Per Brinch Hansen and C.A.R. Hoare in early 1970s
- used in many programming languages/APIs
- Concurrent Pascal (1974, Hansen)
- Java: synchronize, wait(), notify(), notifyAll()
- Pthreads: condition variables and mutexes
- monitor = condition variable + mutex

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

▶ a condition variable *c* is used with a mutex

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep
- other threads run

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep
- other threads run
- at some point in future, another thread can issue a notification on c

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep
- other threads run
- at some point in future, another thread can issue a notification on c
- the thread that is asleep may be notified

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep
- other threads run
- at some point in future, another thread can issue a notification on c
- the thread that is asleep may be notified
  - it wakes up and has the opportunity to regain the lock once the thread owning the lock relinquishes it

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep
- other threads run
- at some point in future, another thread can issue a notification on c
- the thread that is asleep may be notified
  - it wakes up and has the opportunity to regain the lock once the thread owning the lock relinquishes it
  - typically, after the thread wakes up, it will check some condition

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep
- other threads run
- at some point in future, another thread can issue a notification on c
- the thread that is asleep may be notified
  - it wakes up and has the opportunity to regain the lock once the thread owning the lock relinquishes it
  - typically, after the thread wakes up, it will check some condition
  - if the condition holds, great, it continues running with the lock

- a condition variable c is used with a mutex
- when a thread owns the mutex, it may want to wait until some condition holds (due to actions of other threads)
- it can do this by waiting on c
- this reliquishes the locks and the thread goes to sleep
- other threads run
- at some point in future, another thread can issue a notification on c
- the thread that is asleep may be notified
  - it wakes up and has the opportunity to regain the lock once the thread owning the lock relinquishes it
  - typically, after the thread wakes up, it will check some condition
  - if the condition holds, great, it continues running with the lock
  - otherwise, it waits again (loops are good for this)

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

- pthread\_cond\_init(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond, NULL)
  - initialize a condition variable

- pthread\_cond\_init(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond, NULL)
  - initialize a condition variable
- int pthread\_cond\_destroy(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - destroy the previously initialized condition variable

- pthread\_cond\_init(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond, NULL)
  - initialize a condition variable
- int pthread\_cond\_destroy(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - destroy the previously initialized condition variable
- int pthread\_cond\_signal(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - wake up one or more threads waiting on cond

- pthread\_cond\_init(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond, NULL)
  - initialize a condition variable
- int pthread\_cond\_destroy(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - destroy the previously initialized condition variable
- int pthread\_cond\_signal(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - wake up one or more threads waiting on cond
- int pthread\_cond\_broadcast(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - wake up all threads waiting on cond

- pthread\_cond\_init(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond, NULL)
  - initialize a condition variable
- int pthread\_cond\_destroy(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - destroy the previously initialized condition variable
- int pthread\_cond\_signal(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - wake up one or more threads waiting on cond
- int pthread\_cond\_broadcast(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond);
  - wake up all threads waiting on cond
- int pthread\_cond\_wait(pthread\_cond\_t \* cond, pthread\_mutex\_t \* mutex);
  - 1. release lock on mutex
  - 2. go to sleep
  - 3. when woken up: try to regain lock on mutex

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:

1. release lock on mutex, state changes from running to asleep, thread added to c's wait-set

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:
  - 1. release lock on mutex, state changes from running to asleep, thread added to c's wait-set
  - 2. when signaled: state changes from asleep to blocked, thread removed from c's wait-set

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:
  - 1. release lock on mutex, state changes from running to asleep, thread added to c's wait-set
  - 2. when signaled: state changes from asleep to blocked, thread removed from c's wait-set
  - 3. later the thread may regain the lock on mutex
    - just like any thread trying to unlock mutex
    - once lock has been obtained, the call to wait returns

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:
  - 1. release lock on mutex, state changes from running to asleep, thread added to c's wait-set
  - 2. when signaled: state changes from asleep to blocked, thread removed from c's wait-set
  - 3. later the thread may regain the lock on mutex
    - just like any thread trying to unlock mutex
    - once lock has been obtained, the call to wait returns
- 🕨 signal

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:
  - 1. release lock on mutex, state changes from running to asleep, thread added to c's wait-set
  - 2. when signaled: state changes from asleep to blocked, thread removed from c's wait-set
  - 3. later the thread may regain the lock on mutex
    - just like any thread trying to unlock mutex
    - once lock has been obtained, the call to wait returns

#### signal

changes state of one or more waiting threads as above, removes them from c's wait-set

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:
  - 1. release lock on mutex, state changes from running to asleep, thread added to c's wait-set
  - 2. when signaled: state changes from asleep to blocked, thread removed from c's wait-set
  - 3. later the thread may regain the lock on mutex
    - just like any thread trying to unlock mutex
    - once lock has been obtained, the call to wait returns

#### signal

- changes state of one or more waiting threads as above, removes them from c's wait-set
- usually called from thread that owns lock on mutex, but not required by Pthreads

- every thread is either running, blocked waiting for lock, or asleep
  - ▶ a sleeping thread is not contending for resources/consuming CPU cycles
  - note: I am using "asleep" here in a non-standard sense
- state of c: set of waiting threads ("wait-set")
- wait involves 3 atomic operations:
  - 1. release lock on mutex, state changes from running to asleep, thread added to c's wait-set
  - 2. when signaled: state changes from asleep to blocked, thread removed from c's wait-set
  - 3. later the thread may regain the lock on mutex
    - just like any thread trying to unlock mutex
    - once lock has been obtained, the call to wait returns

#### signal

- changes state of one or more waiting threads as above, removes them from c's wait-set
- usually called from thread that owns lock on mutex, but not required by Pthreads

7

broadcast: signals all waiting threads, c's wait-set become empty

Typical pattern for using condition variables

```
obtain lock on mutex;
. . .
while (!expr) {
 wait on cond;
}
// at this point you know expr holds
// assuming expr can only be changed
// by a thread holding lock on mutex!
. . .
release lock on mutex:
```

#### Bank account: bank1.c

```
const int max = 10; // keep bal in 0..max
int bal = 0;
pthread_mutex_t mutex;
pthread_cond_t balLT10, balGT0;
```

```
void * deposit_thread(void * arg) {
  while (1) {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
    while (!(bal<max))
        pthread_cond_wait(&balLT10, &mutex);
    // now I know bal<10 and I have the lock
    bal++;
    pthread_cond_signal(&balGT0);
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}</pre>
```

```
void * withdraw_thread(void * arg) {
  while (1) {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
    while (!(bal>0))
        pthread_cond_wait(&balGTO, &mutex);
    // now I know bal>0 and I have the lock
    bal--;
    pthread_cond_signal(&balLT10);
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}
```

ን

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

now allow multiple accounts, multiple depositors, multiple withdrawers

- now allow multiple accounts, multiple depositors, multiple withdrawers
- a depositor randomly chooses an account and an amount
  - deposits the amount to the account (no waiting)
  - repeat forever

- now allow multiple accounts, multiple depositors, multiple withdrawers
- a depositor randomly chooses an account and an amount
  - deposits the amount to the account (no waiting)
  - repeat forever
- a withdrawer randomly chooses an account and an amount
  - waits for the balance to be at least the amount
  - withdraws the amount from the account
  - repeat forever

- now allow multiple accounts, multiple depositors, multiple withdrawers
- a depositor randomly chooses an account and an amount
  - deposits the amount to the account (no waiting)
  - repeat forever
- a withdrawer randomly chooses an account and an amount
  - waits for the balance to be at least the amount
  - withdraws the amount from the account
  - repeat forever
- command line args: number of accounts, number of depositors, number of withdrawers

- now allow multiple accounts, multiple depositors, multiple withdrawers
- a depositor randomly chooses an account and an amount
  - deposits the amount to the account (no waiting)
  - repeat forever
- a withdrawer randomly chooses an account and an amount
  - waits for the balance to be at least the amount
  - withdraws the amount from the account
  - repeat forever
- command line args: number of accounts, number of depositors, number of withdrawers
- solution
  - one mutex and one condition variable for each account
  - mutex guards all accesses to the account balance
  - condition variable signals whenever a deposit is made to the account
  - depositor signals every time it makes a deposit to the account
  - withdrawer waits, and upon being signaled, checks the balance

► a flag is a boolean variable

- ► a flag is a boolean variable
- > a concurrency flag is a shared boolean variable used in a particular disciplined way
  - also known as a "binary semaphore"

- ► a flag is a boolean variable
- > a concurrency flag is a shared boolean variable used in a particular disciplined way
  - also known as a "binary semaphore"
- concurrency flags are basic concurrency building blocks

- a flag is a boolean variable
- a concurrency flag is a shared boolean variable used in a particular disciplined way
  - also known as a "binary semaphore"
- concurrency flags are basic concurrency building blocks
- > can be used to construct all kinds of complex synchronization patterns and data structures
  - mutual exclusion protocols, barriers, reductions, ...

- ► a flag is a boolean variable
- a concurrency flag is a shared boolean variable used in a particular disciplined way
  - also known as a "binary semaphore"
- concurrency flags are basic concurrency building blocks
- can be used to construct all kinds of complex synchronization patterns and data structures
  - mutual exclusion protocols, barriers, reductions, ...
- state: a flag has two values, 0 and 1

- ► a flag is a boolean variable
- a concurrency flag is a shared boolean variable used in a particular disciplined way
  - also known as a "binary semaphore"
- concurrency flags are basic concurrency building blocks
- can be used to construct all kinds of complex synchronization patterns and data structures
  - mutual exclusion protocols, barriers, reductions, ...
- state: a flag has two values, 0 and 1
- atomic operations

- ► a flag is a boolean variable
- a concurrency flag is a shared boolean variable used in a particular disciplined way
  - also known as a "binary semaphore"
- concurrency flags are basic concurrency building blocks
- can be used to construct all kinds of complex synchronization patterns and data structures
  - mutual exclusion protocols, barriers, reductions, ...
- state: a flag has two values, 0 and 1
- atomic operations
  - raise
    - can only be invoked when value is 0, otherwise error
    - sets value to 1

- a flag is a boolean variable
- a concurrency flag is a shared boolean variable used in a particular disciplined way
  - also known as a "binary semaphore"
- concurrency flags are basic concurrency building blocks
- can be used to construct all kinds of complex synchronization patterns and data structures
  - mutual exclusion protocols, barriers, reductions, . . .
- state: a flag has two values, 0 and 1
- atomic operations
  - raise
    - can only be invoked when value is 0, otherwise error
    - sets value to 1
  - lower
    - blocks until value is 1, then sets value to 0 in one atomic step
    - no other thread can perform any operation on flag between check that value is 1 and set to 0

#### Interface for flags: flag.h

```
typedef ... flag_t;
/* Initializes the flag with the given value. Must be called before
   the first time the flag is used. */
void flag_init(flag_t * f, _Bool val);
/* Destroys the flag */
void flag_destroy(flag_t * f);
/* Increments f atomically, and returns the result. Notifies threads
   waiting for a change on f. An assertion is violated if f is 1 when
   this function is called. */
void flag_raise(flag_t * f);
/* Waits for f to be 1, then sets it to 0, all atomically. */
void flag_lower(flag_t * f);
```

#### Implementation of flags: flags.h and flags.c

```
typedef struct flag {
  _Bool val;
  pthread_mutex_t mutex;
  pthread_cond_t condition_var;
} flag_t;
void flag_init(flag_t * f, _Bool val) {
  f \rightarrow val = val:
  pthread_mutex_init(&f->mutex, NULL);
  pthread_cond_init(&f->condition_var, NULL);
}
void flag_destroy(flag_t * f) {
  pthread_mutex_destroy(&f->mutex);
  pthread_cond_destroy(&f->condition_var);
}
```

#### Implementation of flags: raise and lower

```
void flag_raise(flag_t * f) {
  pthread_mutex_lock(&f->mutex);
  assert(!f->val);
  f \rightarrow val = 1:
  pthread_cond_broadcast(&f->condition_var);
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&f->mutex);
ን
void flag_lower(flag_t * f) {
  pthread_mutex_lock(&f->mutex);
  while (f \rightarrow val == 0)
    pthread_cond_wait(&f->condition_var, &f->mutex);
  f \rightarrow val = 0;
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&f->mutex);
ን
```

A very common pattern in multi-threaded programs:

```
while (true) {
   compute something;
   barrier();
}
```

A very common pattern in multi-threaded programs:

```
while (true) {
   compute something;
   barrier();
}
```

barrier(): no thread can leave until every thread has entered

A very common pattern in multi-threaded programs:

```
while (true) {
   compute something;
   barrier();
}
```

barrier(): no thread can leave until every thread has entered

thread 1 needs to read something produced by thread 2 in previous iteration

A very common pattern in multi-threaded programs:

```
while (true) {
   compute something;
   barrier();
}
```

barrier(): no thread can leave until every thread has entered

- thread 1 needs to read something produced by thread 2 in previous iteration
- how to construct a "barrier" for threads?

A very common pattern in multi-threaded programs:

```
while (true) {
   compute something;
   barrier();
}
```

- barrier(): no thread can leave until every thread has entered
- thread 1 needs to read something produced by thread 2 in previous iteration
- how to construct a "barrier" for threads?
- many ways, using synchronization primitives we have already learned

A very common pattern in multi-threaded programs:

```
while (true) {
   compute something;
   barrier();
}
```

- barrier(): no thread can leave until every thread has entered
- thread 1 needs to read something produced by thread 2 in previous iteration
- how to construct a "barrier" for threads?
- many ways, using synchronization primitives we have already learned
- solutions differ in their performance charactertistics

A very common pattern in multi-threaded programs:

```
while (true) {
   compute something;
   barrier();
```

- barrier(): no thread can leave until every thread has entered
- thread 1 needs to read something produced by thread 2 in previous iteration
- how to construct a "barrier" for threads?
- many ways, using synchronization primitives we have already learned
- solutions differ in their performance charactertistics
- desired characteristics of barriers:
  - $1. \ no \ one \ leaves \ until \ everyone \ enters$
  - $2. \ no$  unnecessary delay: after last thread enters, everyone can leave without further delay
  - 3. reuseable : need to use the same barrier object over and over

#### A 2-thread barrier using flags

- two flags are used f1 and f2
  - f1 is used by Thread 1 to send a signal to Thread 2 saying "I have arrived at barrier"
  - f2 is used by Thread 2 to send a signal to Thread 1 saying "I have arrived at barrier"

## A 2-thread barrier using flags

- two flags are used f1 and f2
  - f1 is used by Thread 1 to send a signal to Thread 2 saying "I have arrived at barrier"
  - f2 is used by Thread 2 to send a signal to Thread 1 saying "I have arrived at barrier"
- Thread 1
  - 1. raises f1
  - 2. lowers f2
- Thread 2
  - 1. lowers f1
  - 2. raises f2

## A 2-thread barrier using flags

- two flags are used f1 and f2
  - f1 is used by Thread 1 to send a signal to Thread 2 saying "I have arrived at barrier"
  - f2 is used by Thread 2 to send a signal to Thread 1 saying "I have arrived at barrier"
- Thread 1
  - 1. raises f1
  - 2. lowers f2
- Thread 2
  - 1. lowers f1
  - 2. raises f2

Is it a correct, re-useable barrier with no unnecessary delay? See 2barrier.c.